

CHESHAM BOIS PARISH COUNCIL

Minutes of Planning Committee Meeting held at The Council Office, Glebe Way, Chesham Bois at 7.30pm on Monday 9th April 2018

PRESENT: Cllr J Harbottle (Chairman), Cllr J Bailey and Cllr R Hartley
Mrs S Payne - Clerk

P31.1 Questions from the Public: None

P31.2 Apologies for Absence: Cllr D Buckingham and Cllr C Thomas noted.

P31.3 To Approve Minutes of Meeting held on 26th March 2018. The minutes were approved and signed by the Chairman.

P31.4 To Receive Declarations of Interest Relating to this Meeting. None declared.

P31.5 Recent Decisions & Those at Variance with the Recommendations of this Committee

It was noted that of the five applications decided between 21st March and 4th April 2018 one was of a different outcome.

Ref Number	Detail	Address	CBPC Comment	CDC Decision
CH/2018/0190/FA	Proposed roof dormer to rear and rooflight to front.	Cowley, 46 Bois Lane, HP6 6BX	No Objection	Refuse Permission: The proposed dormer extension would fail to integrate with the vernacular of the existing property and would create an obtrusive and incongruous form of development

P31.6 Correspondence

The concerns raised in a Letter from a resident regarding application CH/2018/0440/FA [82 Bois Lane] were noted.

An email from a resident regarding their objection to application CH/2018/0440/FA [82 Bois Lane] was tabled and noted.

P31.7 Applications for Consideration to 4th April 2018

Ref Number	Detail	Address	PARISH COUNCIL COMMENT
CH/2018/0409/FA	Single storey rear extension	Cleadon, 10 Green Lane HP6 5LN	No Objection
CH/2018/0357/FA	Demolition of existing dwelling and the erection of a new 5-bed dwelling	Chelwood, Oakway HP6 5PQ	No Objection
CH/2018/0440/FA	Two storey side extension with ground floor bay window	Oaklyn, 82 Bois Lane HP6 6BZ	OBJECTION: The proposed development would harm the street-scene by building up to the boundary of the plot; upsetting the rhythm of the street. It would have an unacceptable impact on the living conditions and amenity of the adjacent dwelling which has windows facing the boundary that would appear to be severely affected. Accordingly, the proposal would fail to preserve or enhance the character of the Conservation Area.

The meeting closed at 7.40pm.